

Institut Pengajian Siswazah

IPSis / BPK / INTERVIEW / 2021

BORANG PENILAIAN TEMUDUGA PELAJAR PASCASISWAZAH POSTGRADUATE INTERVIEW ASSESSMENT FORM

SECTION I (To be filled by student in CAPITAL LETTERS)						
Name:						
I/C No. / Passport No.:		Faculty:				
Proposed Research Title:						
Source of Funding:						
Proposed Supervisor (s):	1.					
	2.					
	3.					

SECTION A: INTERVIEW EVALUATION (To be filled up by the Interviewer)

ASPECT OF ASSESSMENT	MARKS (M) (please circle the appropriate mark for each section)				WEIGHTEI MARKS OBTAINEI (%)	
	1	2	3	4	5	
 Communication Skills (20%) Verbal Communication English Language Proficiency 	Poor command of the language	Average command of the language	Moderate command of the language	Good command of the language	Excellent command of the language	(=M x 4)
2. Personality (10%)	1	2	3	4	5	(M × 0)
. Personality (10%) a. Responsiveness b. Appearance	Below Average Personality	Average Personality	Satisfactory Personality	Good Personality	Outstanding Personality	(=M x 2)
	1	2	3	4	5	
 a. Area of Research b. General Knowledge C. Research Knowledge 	Very limited knowledge in the subject areas of research	Some knowledge in the subject areas of research	Sufficient knowledge in the subject areas of research	Knowledgeable in the subject areas of research	Outstanding knowledge in the subject areas of research	(=M x 4)
	1	2	3	4	5	(11 A)
 Readiness for Postgraduate Studies (20%) a. Time Management b. Self-Preparation (Mental, Physical, Spiritual, Family, etc) 	Not Prepared	Somewhat Prepared	Moderately Prepared	Prepared	Well Prepared	(=M x 4)
Thinking Skills (20%)	1	2	3	4	5	(11 A)
. Thinking Skills (20%) a. Maturity of thoughts b. Critical Thinking c. Logical Thinking d. Analytical Thinking e. Creative Thinking	Lack thinking skills, not suitable for postgraduate studies	Moderate thinking skills suitable, for postgraduate studies	Sufficient thinking skills, suitable for postgraduate studies	Very good thinking skills, suitable for postgraduate studies	Excellent thinking skills, very suitable for postgraduate studies	(=M x 4)
	1	2	3	4	5	(_M v 2)
						(=M x 2)
. Motivation (10%) a. Clear Goals b. Determination	Not motivated	Somewhat motivated	Moderately motivated	Motivated	Highly motivated	

SECTION B: RESEARCH PROPOSAL (To be filled up by the Interviewer)

NO	ASPECT OF ASSESSMENT	MARKS (M) (please circle the appropriate mark for each section)				WEIGHTED MARKS OBTAINED (%)		
		1	2	3	4	5		
1. Title of Research (5%)		No reflection of research	Minimal reflection of research	Moderate reflection of research	Clear reflection of research	Very clear reflection of research	(=M x 1)	
		1	2	3	4	5	(11 -)	
2.	Problem Statement (25%)	No discussion of Problem and Identification of Research Gap	Little discussion of Problem and Identification of Research Gap	Moderate discussion of Problem and Identification of	Clear discussion of Problem and Identification of Research Gap	Very clear discussion of Problem and Identification of	(=M x 5)	
•	Deserve Objectives (1	2	3	4	5	(M A)	
3.	3. Research Objectives / Research Questions (20%)	No research objectives and questions	Vague research objectives and questions	Moderately clear research objectives and questions	Clear research objectives and questions	Very clear research objectives and questions	(=M x 4)	
	 Literature Review (15%) 	1	2	3	4	5		
4.		Unable to discuss relevant theories and empirical evidences	Little discussion on relevant theories and empirical evidences	Moderate discussion on relevant theories and empirical	Good discussion on relevant theories and empirical	Excellent discussion on relevant theories and empirical	(=M x 3)	
	5. Research Methodology (15%)	1	2	3	4	5		
5.		Poor research design and justification of method.	Unclear research design and justification of method.	Moderately clear research design and justification of method.	Clear research design and justification of method.	Very clear research design and justification of method.	(=M x 3)	
		1	2	3	4	5	(11)	
 6. Significance of the Research (20%) PhD candidate should be able to discuss theory and practical contributions. 		No significance of study	Vague significance of study	Moderately clear significance of study	Clear significance of study	Very clear significance of study	(=M x 4)	
					TOTAL	MARK (100%)		

SECTION C: EVALUATION OUTCOME (To be filled up by the Interviewer)				
SECTION	ASPECT OF ASSESSMENT	MARKS	WEIGHTED MARKS OBTAINED (%)	
Α	INTERVIEW	/100	/ 70%	
В	RESEARCH PROPOSAL	/ 30%		
	TOTAL MARKS	/ 100%		

SECTION II: OUTCOME OF THE INTERVIEW SESSION Kindly tick ($^{(1)}$) your recommended ranking.

Recommendation:

Considering this applicant's research proposal and interview performance, kindly indicate your recommended ranking by ticking ($\sqrt{}$)

RANI	KING	OVERALL MARKS	INTERPRETATION INTERNATIONAL CANDIDATE	INTERPRETATION LOCAL CANDIDATE
	1	75 – 100	Recommended	
	2	65 – 74	Conditional Recommendation: • Enroll and complete the English Proficiency Course (EPC) <i>concurrently</i> with the postgraduate program	Recommended
	3	51 – 64	 Conditional Recommendation: Enroll and complete the English Proficiency Course (EPC) <i>separately</i> before joining the postgraduate program 	
	4	0 – 50	Not Recommended	Not Recommended

Interviewer 1:	Signature:	
Interviewer 2:	Signature:	
Interviewer 3:	Signature:	
Date:		