

APPLICATION FOR CONVERSION FROM MASTERS TO PHD

The application for conversion from Masters to PhD requires the use of three (3) forms:

- 1. Application Form IPSis/RSCH/CONVERSION/APPLY/Pin. 2025
- 2. Individual Assessor Report Form IPSis/RSCH/CONVERSION/ASSESSOR/Pin. 2025 two (2) copies
- 3. Panel of Assessors' Recommendation Form IPSis/RSCH/CONVERSION/PANEL/Pin. 2025

A. Instructions for Student:

- i. Student must complete Section I in all three (3) forms (Forms 1, 2 & 3) and submit all forms with the research report to the Main Supervisor.
- ii. The application must be submitted to the faculty within 12 months from the commencement of the student's registration.
- iii. Student is required to present the research report to the panel of assessors at scheduled date.

B. <u>Instructions for Supervisor:</u>

 The Main Supervisor must complete section II of the Application Form and submit all three forms with the student's research report for conversion (refer to the Process and Procedures of Post Graduate Research Degree Programmes) to the Faculty Head of Postgraduate Studies.

C. <u>Instructions for Faculty Head of Postgraduate Studies</u>

- i. The Faculty will appoint two (2) panel of assessors (research field experts) to assess student's research report and proposal.
- ii. Upon recommendation by the Panel of Assessors and application for the JKAPS Committee has endorsed conversion, submit completed application form, original copies of student's research report, the Individual Assessor's Reports and the endorsed Panel of Assessors' Recommendation to IPSis for approval by the JKIPA Committee.

SECTION I: Gen	eral Informa	ation	
Name	:		
I.C No/ Passport No.	:		
Student ID No	:		
Programme Code	:		
Faculty	:		
Title of Research	:		
Semester:		SEPTEMBER MARCH	Year 2 0
SUPERV	ISOR	NAME	FACULTY / INSTITUTION
Main Supervis	or		
Co-Supervisor	· 1		
Co-Supervisor	· 2		
Co-Supervisor	· 3		

List of Conferences (please attach if space is insufficient)							
NO.	CONFERENCE INFORMATION (Name / Date / Location) TITLE OF PRESENTATION			STATUS (Accepted / Presented)	INDEXED IN (Scopus / ERA / MyCITE / WoS)		
1.							
2.							
List	of Publications (please attach if space is ins	sufficient)					
No.	PUBLICATION INFORMATION INDEXED IN (Scopus / ERA / MyCITE / WoS)			STATUS pted/ Presented)	MAIN AUTHOR / CORESPONDING AUTHOR		
1.							
2.							
SECT	FION II: Main Supervisor's Comments / Rec	commendations					
	in Supervisor's Comments ase provide brief summary of strength of student's research	work)					
	Name and Signature of Main Sune			Date			
Name and Signature of Main Supervisor SECTION III: Endorsement by JKAPS Committee							
fro	e Committee has met onm m Master to PhD. Attached are the Applicati d Panel of Assessors' Recommendation For	ion Conversion Form, Student's Res	s studen search F	t's program of studi Report, Individual As	es be converted sessor's Report		
En	Endorsed by Chairman of JKAPS Committee						
	Signature and Stamp Date						
	ceived by:						
rte							
	Signature and Official St			Date			



SECTION I: General Information

APPLICATION FOR CONVERSION FROM MASTERS TO PHD

(ASSESSOR'S INDIVIDUAL REPORT)

Instructions:

- I. Student must complete Section I and submit two (2) copies of the assessment form to the Main Supervisor.
- 2. The Main Supervisor submits the assessment forms to the Faculty Head of Postgraduate Studies
- 3. The Assessor makes decision once student has presented his / her research report.
- 4. All aspects of assessment must at least meet expectations (2) to be considered for upgrading.

Name		:				
I.C No. Passpo		:				
Student	t ID No.	:				
Progran Code	mme	:				
Faculty		:				
Title of Researc	ch	:				
Semest	er:		SEPTEMBER	MARCH	YEAR 2 0	
	SUPERV	/ISOR	NA	ME	FACULTY / INSTITUTION	
Main	Main Supervisor					
Co-Supervisor 1		r 1				
Co-Supervisor 2						
Co-Su	ıperviso	r 3				
SECTIO	N II (To	be complete	d by the Assessor. Please	e circle the appropriate des	criptor.)	
(A)				EXISTING RESEARCH W	ORK	
	NO.	ASPECT	TS OF ASSESSMENT	BELOW EXPECTATIONS (1)	MEET EXPECTATIONS (2)	EXCEED EXPECTATIONS (3)
	1.	Title of Rese	earch	Not clearly defined	Clearly defined	Very clearly defined
				1	2	3
	2.	Background	& Problem Statement	Gap in the existing knowledge not clearly identified	Gap in the existing knowledge clearly identified	Gap in the existing knowledge very clearly identified
				1	2	3
	3.	Research O	bjectives	Objectives are missing or not clear and overlapping	The objectives are clear	The objectives are very clear and concisely stated
				1	2	3

NO.	ASPECTS OF ASSESSMENT	BELOW EXPECTATIONS (1)	MEET EXPECTATIONS (2)	EXCEED EXPECTATIONS (3)
4. Significance of Research		Importance is addressed but is not convincingly stated	Topic is justified and will likely lead to an actual contribution	Significance of research is clearly identified and will lead to an actual contribution
		1	2	3
5.	Conceptual Framework (if applicable)	Framework does not clearly describe the variables of study and the hypotheses to be tested	Framework clearly describes the variables of study and the hypotheses to be tested	Framework very clearly describes the variables of study and the hypotheses to be tested
		1	2	3
6.	Research Methodology	No clear description of research methods to be used	Clear description of research methods to be used	Very clear description of research methods to be used
		1	2	3
7. Output of Research Achieved (Publication, Presentation and / or Awards)		Output not achieved	Output has been achieved	Output has been achieved beyond expectation
		1	2	3

Important Note: All aspects of assessment must at least meet expectations (2) to be considered for upgrading.

(B)	PROPOSED ADDITIONAL PHD SCOPE				
()	NO.	ASPECTS OF ASSESSMENT	UNACCEPTABLE 1	ACCEPTABLE 2	
	1.	Research Objectives	The objectives are clear, concise, but insufficient for PhD study	The objectives are very clear, concise, and sufficient for PhD study	
			1	2	
	2.	Conceptual Framework (if applicable)	New variables are not identified and no extension of theory is proposed	All new variables are identified and extension of theory is proposed	
			1	2	
	3. Research Methodology		Description of research methods is not clear and insufficient for PhD.	Very clear description and appropriate research methods to be used	
			1	2	
	4.	Expected Output	No novelty	Has originality and novelty	
			1	2	
	5.	Other comments			

Important Note: All aspects of assessment must be acceptable (2) to be considered for upgrading.

SECTION III: Outcome by Assessor	
Please Tick (√)	Application for conversion of student's program is:
Assessor 1 Assessor 2	Recommended Not Recommended
Name of Assessor :	
Signature of Assessor :	
Date :	



APPLICATION FOR CONVERSION FROM MASTERS TO PHD

(PANEL OF ASSESSORS' RECOMMENDATION)

Instructions:

SECTION I: General Information

- 1. Student is to complete Section I and submit (2) copies of the Individual Assessor form to the Main Supervisor.
- 2. The Main Supervisor submits the Individual Assessor form, the application form with student's research report to the Faculty Head of Postgraduate Studies.
- 3. The Panel of Assessors makes decision once student has presented his / her research report.

Name		:				
I.C No / Passpo	rt No.	:				
Studen	t ID No.	:				
Progra Code	mme	:				
Faculty	′	:				
Title of Resear		:				
Semes	ter:		SEPTEMBER	MARCH	Year	2 0
	SUPERV	/ISOR	N.	AME	FAC	ULTY / INSTITUTION
Main	Supervis	or				
Co-Sı	ıpervisoı	r 1				
Co-Su	ıpervisoı	r 2				
Co-Sı	ıpervisoı	r 3				
SECTIO	ON II (To	be filled up b	y the Panel Chairperson	following Panel's decis	ion)	
(A)	NO.		S OF ASSESSMENT		COMMENT	rs
	1.	Research O	bjectives			
	2.	Conceptual	Framework (if applicable)			

	NO.	ASPECTS OF ASSESSMENT	COMMENTS					
	3.	Research Methodology						
	,g,							
	4.	Expected Output						
	5.	Additional scope for the Doctoral degree						
	6.	Other comments						
	0.	Other comments						
(B)	Please indicate the quality of student's research (Circle the appropriate rating)							
	1 2 3							
	Below Expectation Meet Expectation Exceed Expectation							
SECTIO	ON III: Ou	itcome by Panel of Assessors						
020110		Notifie by Failer of Accessors						
Appl Pleas	lication for the se Tick ($$)	for conversion of student's program is	s					
		RECOMMENDED	NOT RECOMMENDED					
Nama a	of Assess	4.						
Name C	T ASSES	sor 1:						
			Signature of Assessor 1	Date				
Name of Assessor 2:								
			Signature of Assessor 2	Date				
Name o	of Chair F	Panel of Assessor:						
		Sign	ature of Chair Panel of Assessor	Date				